

**Internet2 New Network Advisory Structure Group  
Conference Call, July 27 – 4:00 p.m. EDT**

**In the call:**

Ben Colley (MOREnet)  
Paul Schopis (OARNET)  
Garret Yoshimi (Univ Hawaii)  
Peter O'Neil (NCAR)  
Ana Preston (Internet2)  
Rick Summerhill (Internet2)

**New Action Items:**

ACTION1 : continue to send input and ideas on this via email. Articulate further principles and recommendations as part of what gets submitted back to Internet2.

ACTION 2: Paul will provide a list on this and will also ask group to provide input before next call

ACTION 3: Ana to check with Rick/Cheryl on requesting a few more days for recommendations to be submitted (and organize another call).

ACTION 4: Ana will include relevant additional principles on NTAC make up and selection (from this call) into latest draft. Will circulate as soon as possible.

**Pending actions from last call (although now expanded Action Item from last call)**

ACTION 5: Group to review and provide comments, along with final discussion to take place next Thursday.

**Notes/minutes from the call:**

Discussed what Peter sent around regarding IETF RFC nomination process and ideas behind this, primarily on concerns on process being transparent and as democratic as possible

- nominating process/committee may still be necessary
- Non-biased members – would like to identify people or make selection criterion be not based on special connections; keep as neutral as possible (e.g., no special alliances).
- While IETF model is different (non-membership based vs. Internet2 model) want to ensure that the people who make up the NTAC (and who elect them) are people actively involved in the community/organization itself. Similar selection process that reflects the spirit of IETF, ARIN and how other organizations elect their members.

Criterion for membership within NTAC, could we recommend some specific desirable things, ideas discussed included:

- have attended x number of relevant meetings in the R&E networking space
- participate in technical efforts and projects other than those of Internet2
- Made contributions to whatever the issues may be – presumably be part of the technical staff that is a member of Internet2 or member of a Gigapop technical staff

Discussion around voting/electoral process. Basic principle is the idea of the community being involved. How do you implement this? Mechanically and implementation-wise, it could be a fairly complicating process. We may not need to worry about implementation but could note that NTAC could work on how this could work.

- Nominating committee/those making selection for the first NTAC – how would they determine who makes the first cut? Important to note that the community needs to be communicated how committee members are elected.
- An electoral-type process may be considered. Recognition that it may be hard to implement and maybe not feasible but that at the very least the idea(s) should be put out for community input. The NTAC could address how this may work.

For now, due to timeline at hand (Aug. 1<sup>st</sup>) could provide recommendations with agreed principles on moving forward to a more democratic process. While not able to provide full recommendations here, want to suggest what the concerns are:

- Selection process should be open, transparent and involve community
- Selection criteria is clearly stated
- Selection is open for community input and recommendations

**ACTION1 : continue to send input and ideas on this via email. Articulate further principles and recommendations as part of what gets submitted back to Internet2.**

***Recommendations for working groups***

Paul heard from Rick on areas where it'd be good to have initial working groups: Multicast, ipv6 , measurement, security, hybrid networking (which would include routing).

**ACTION 2: Paul will provide a list on this and will also ask group to provide input before next call**

Discussion on principles and finishing recommendations back to Internet2 Discussed timeline and having one more phone call to finalize things.

**ACTION 3: Ana to check with Rick/Cheryl on requesting a few more days for recommendations to be submitted (and organize another call).**

**ACTION 4: Ana will include relevant additional principles on NTAC make up and selection (from this call) into latest draft. Will circulate as soon as possible.**

**ACTION 5: Group to review and provide comments, along with final discussion to take place next Thursday.**

Next call: August 3, 2006, 4 p.m. EDT

Respectfully submitted,

Ana Preston